

1 JASON M. WILEY, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 009274  
2 DANIEL S. CEREGHINO, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 011534  
3 **KOLESAR & LEATHAM**  
400 South Rampart Boulevard, Suite 400  
4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
Telephone: (702) 362-7800  
5 Facsimile: (702) 362-9472  
E-Mail: jwiley@klnevada.com  
6 amaurice@klnevada.com

7 *Attorneys for Nonparty*  
8 *Val de Loire, LLC*

9  
10  
11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

13 \* \* \*

14 NML CAPITAL LTD.,

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 THE REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA,

18 Defendant.  
19  
20  
21

CASE NO. 2:14-cv-01573-LDG-PAL

**ERRATA TO NONPARTY VAL DE  
LOIRE, LLC'S MOTION TO QUASH  
SUBPOENA OR, IN THE  
ALTERNATIVE, FOR  
PROTECTIVE ORDER**

22 **ERRATA TO NONPARTY VAL DE LOIRE, LLC'S MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA**  
23 **OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER**

24 Nonparty Val de Loire, LLC ("VDL"), by and through its counsel of record, the law firm  
25 Kolesar & Leatham, hereby files its Errata to Nonparty Val de Loire, LLC's Motion to Quash  
26 Subpoena or, in the Alternative, for Protective Order ("Motion").

27 VDL's Motion, filed on September 24, 2014, inadvertently attached as Exhibit A thereto  
28 the subpoena issued by NML to M.F. Corporate Services (Nevada) Limited. Attached hereto is  
the correct "Subpoena Duces Tecum Ad Testificandum" (the "VDL Subpoena") issued to VDL

1 by NML. VDL respectfully requests this Court consider this VDL Subpoena as Exhibit A in lieu  
2 of the one inadvertently provided.<sup>1</sup>

3 DATED this 29<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2014.

4 **KOLESAR & LEATHAM**

5  
6 By /s/ Jason M. Wiley

JASON M. WILEY, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 009274

DANIEL S. CEREGHINO, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 011534

400 South Rampart Boulevard

Suite 400

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

10 *Attorneys for Nonparty*

11 *Val de Loire, LLC*

KOLESAR & LEATHAM  
400 S. Rampart Boulevard, Suite 400  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
Tel: (702) 362-7800 / Fax: (702) 362-9472

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 <sup>1</sup> The Court will note, however, that these subpoenas are substantially similar, if not otherwise identical.